Locking

2025 Winter ECE353: Systems Software Jon Eyolfson

Lecture 15 2.0.0

Monitors Are Built Into Some Languages

With object-oriented programming, developers wanted better ease of use

Could mark a method as monitored, and let the compiler handle locking An object can only have one thread active in its monitored methods

It's basically one mutex per object, created for you The compiler inserts calls to lock and unlock

Java's synchronized Keyword is an Example of a Monitor

```
public class Account {
  int balance:
  public synchronized void deposit(int amount) { balance += amount; }
  public synchronized void withdraw(int amount) { balance -= amount; }
the compiler transforms to:
public void deposit(int amount) {
  lock(this.monitor); /* New */
  balance += amount;
  unlock(this.monitor); /* New */
public void withdraw(int amount) {
  lock(this.monitor); /* New */
  balance -= amount:
  unlock(this.monitor); /* New */
```

Condition Variables Behave Like Semaphores

You can create your own custom queue of threads

The wait functions add this thread to the queue signal wakes up one thread, broadcast wakes up all threads

Condition Variables MUST Be Paired with a Mutex

Any calls to wait must already hold the mutex (signal and broadcast may not)

Why? Think that wait needs to add itself to the queue safely (no data races) It needs the mutex as an argument to unlock it before going to sleep

The thread will hold the locked mutex before and after the call

One mutex can also protect multiple condition variables

We'll only consider calls to wait and signal

The wait Call Does Not Contain Data Races

Understand what wait does (for condition variables!)

The thread calling wait:

- 1. Adds itself to the queue for the condition variable
- 2. Unlock the mutex
- 3. Gets blocked (it can no longer be scheduled to run)

The thread calling wait needs another thread to call signal or broadcast, then if it's selected:

- 1. Gets unblocked (it can be scheduled to run)
- 2. Tries to lock the mutex again, wait returns when it gets it

We Can Use Condition Variables for Our Producer/Consumer

```
pthread_mutex_t mutex;
int nfilled;
pthread_cond_t has_filled;
pthread_cond_t has_empty;
void producer() {
  // produce data
  pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
 while (nfilled == N) {
    pthread_cond_wait(&has_empty,
                      &mutex);
  // fill a slot
  ++nfilled:
  pthread_cond_signal(&has_filled);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

What's the Issue with the Following Code?

```
/* Thread 1 */
pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
while (!condition) {
   pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex);
}
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
/* Thread 2 */
condition = true;
pthread_cond_signal(&cond);
```

Can We Change the while to an if?

```
/* Thread 1 */
pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
if (!condition) {
  pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex);
// What could happen here?
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
/* Thread 2 */
pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
condition = true;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
pthread_cond_signal(&cond);
/* Thread 3 */
pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
condition = false;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
```

Condition Variables Serve a Similar Purpose as Semaphores

You can think of semaphores as a special case of condition variables
They go to sleep when the value is 0, when it's greater than 0 they wake up
You can implement one using the other, however it can get messy
For complex conditions condition variables offer much better clarity

Locking Granularity is the Extent of Your Locks

You need locks to prevent data races

Lock large sections of your program, or divide the locks and use smaller sections?

What if you want to parallelize your hash table?

Things to consider about locks:

- Overhead
- Contention
- Deadlocks

Locking Overheads

- Memory allocated
- Initialization and destruction time
- Time to acquire and release locks

The more locks you have, the greater each cost is going to be

You Do NOT Want Deadlocks

The more locks you have, the more you have to worry about deadlocks Conditions for deadlocking:

- 1. Mutual Exclusion (of course for simple locks)
- 2. Hold and Wait (you have a lock and try to acquire another)
- 3. No Preemption (we can't take simple locks away)
- 4. Circular Wait (waiting for a lock held by another process)

A Simple Deadlock Example

Consider two processors trying to get two locks:

Thread 1	Thread 2
Get Lock 1	Get Lock 2
Get Lock 2	Get Lock 1
Release Lock 2	Release Lock ´
Release Lock 1	Release Lock 2

T11 gets Lock 1, then T2 gets Lock 2, now they both wait for each other Deadlock

You Can Ensure Order to Prevent Deadlocks

```
void f1() {
    locktype_lock(&l1);
    locktype_lock(&l2);
    // protected code
    locktype_unlock(&l2);
    locktype_unlock(&l1);
}
```

This code will not deadlock, you can only get 12 if you have 11

You Could Also Prevent A Deadlock by Using trylock

Remember, for pthread there's trylock that returns 0 if it gets the lock

```
void f2() {
   locktype_lock(&l1);
   while (locktype_trylock(&l2) != 0) {
      locktype_unlock(&l1);
      // wait
      locktype_lock(&l1);
   }
   // protected code
   locktype_unlock(&l2);
   locktype_unlock(&l1);
}
```

This code will not deadlock, it will give up 11 if it can't get 12

We Explored More Advanced Locking

We have another tool to ensure order

- Condition variables are clearer for complex condition signaling
- Locking granularity matters
- You must prevent deadlocks